**Who will close the eyes of Europeans?**

**Declaration of the spokesperson of the International Peace Committee and representative of the Association Informal Economic Forum, Economic Club, Mr Peter Kasalovský, of 31 August 2015**

##### Good music is always uplifting. But it requires a good composer; one of such musical “geniuses” is Thomas P. M. Barnett. He is a researcher in the field of military strategies. As a steadfast supporter of globalisation, he suggests that the process of globalisation be completed by the emergence of a single religion, a single culture, and a single race, but not the extinction of the races. It is well known what has happened since 2002 when the results of his work were presented to Defence Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. The tune of the refrain is relatively simple, it has only four bars. Let us summarize a few thoughts of this gentleman contained in his two books – *The Pentagon´s New map of 2004 and Blueprint for Action of 2005 –*in which he states:

***"The ultimate goal, therefore, has to be to make globalisation truly global. This should be achieved by mingling the races to create a light brown race in Europe. To this end, Europe must accept 1.5 million immigrants from the Third World. The result will be a population of people with average IQ of 90 who will be too stupid to understand and intelligent enough to work.”***

These words of the imaginary “overture” of every low-spirited person mulling over his bleak situation induce the “ecstasy of cheerful mood.”

What are then the bars of the composition by P. M. Barnett who, for his tune, needs the following:

1. A bar – to ensure the flow of immigrants in order to destroy nation states and the cultures of historically established peoples of Europe.
2. A bar – to ensure a free flow of gas and oil to the United States because, according to Barnett, the nations must not dispose of raw materials. All resources must be privatised and internationalised by corporations.
3. A bar – unimpeded flows of funds to the United States. The governments must not hinder “the free movement of capital,” i.e. the outflow of profits to the United States. The states must become dependent on one another. The governments that will try to prevent it will be replaced by puppet governments. “The enemies of this globalisation will be destroyed”, he claims, adding that: “The critics will be killed".
4. A bar – no country must oppose the “peaceful deployment” of the US military. Private armies will be deployed in the regional markets, similar to the infamous agency known under the name of Blackwater.

This “visionary” warns of the danger that the resistance is to be expected mainly inside the United States, while elsewhere everything is on the good way.

For useful idiots who support the current unmanageable immigration situation in the EU, and to improve the mood of the readers, just one “amusing” remark by French writer Henry Bordeaux: ***"Most people’s eyes open only once in their life. It is at the time of their death. But those around them rush to close them. "***

**Who will close the eyes of Europeans?**

We must realise that when the winter comes in Europe, it will cause the usual quite considerable death toll among the homeless. We are asking the EU governments, what will they do with the victims of the cold from among the migrants? It seems that it will be hard to even ensure a dignified burial for the migrants who will succumb to the cold winter.

How long shall we tolerate undemocratic and puppet structures and foreign non-governmental organisations in individual countries? And what about democracy in the EU bodies which arbitrarily and without any mandate from the European Parliament decide on such serious matters as is the current migration and dictate quota to sovereign states?

Why is the southern part of the Schengen border not protected in spite of the past and current financial allocations for this purpose? For, the protection of the Schengen zone is centrally managed by the EU. Has anybody tried to ascertain which countries of the world finance the migrants, which EU countries sponge on the help to migrants, and who introduced meaningful sanctions against them?

Has anybody imposed sanctions against the countries directly or indirectly supporting the caliphate and the ISIL? Sure, this is an annoying topic, because we would learn that it is there that the deliveries of arms of the entire world are directed. It would also reveal which countries directly finance the ISIL, as well as the wave of immigration to Europe.

We definitely agree that these people should be helped. But we must carefully examine the motives of their actions, and return economic migrants to their home countries. It is, however, necessary to create, within the possibilities of individual countries, humane conditions for their **temporary integration** in society, and make them abide by the laws of the host country during the necessary time of their stay, while their countries are at war.